

IMPACT OF *LACTOBACILLUS PLANTARUM* (NCDC LP20) PROBIOTIC BACTERIA ON ENHANCED INNATE IMMUNO PARAMETERS IN *LABEO ROHITA* (ROHU)

S. Janardana Reddy*

¹Department of Fishery Science and Aquaculture, College of Sciences, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India; *Corresponding author sjanardanareddy@gmail.com

Subhan Ali

Department of Biochemistry, College of Sciences, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India; subhanalisvu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In according to several reports, the advantageous effects of bacteria on fish have well been documented. Probiotics as an alternative strategy have been suggested to be used as reinstatement for antimicrobial drugs and growth promoters. Some researchers believe that Probiotics have an advantageous for strengthening the health of fish in aquaculture and augmenting fish performance. The present study was designed to investigate the enhanced effects in innate immunological indices of by the oral administration of probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum (NCDC LP20) in freshwater fish, Labeo rohita (Rohu). Probiotic was administered orally at three different doses 1×10^6 (T₂), 1.5×10^6 (T₃), 2×10^6 (T₄) cfu/g feed to Labeo rohita for four weeks. The positive control group (T₁) and negative control group (T₅) was fed feed without probiotic for the same period. On 29th day, blood and serum samples were collected to determine differential leukocyte counts (DLC), respiratory burst activity (NBT assay) and serum bactericidal activity. Fishes were challenged intra peritoneal injection with Aeromonas hydrophila after four weeks in the treatment groups (T₂, T₃ and T₄) and also in the positive control group (T₁), while the negative control group (T₅) was administered with phosphate buffer saline (PBS pH 7.2). The NBT assay and DLC were assessed on 7th day post challenge. Probiotic Lactobacillus plantarum (NCDC LP20) treated fish showed significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) respiratory burst activity and bactericidal activity during the pre-challenge compared with the control groups. The highest respiratory burst activity (0.42 ± 0.01) and serum bactericidal activity was recorded in the group (T₄) fed feed containing Lactobacillus plantarum (NCDC LP20) at 2×10^6 cfu/g feed. Granulocytes numbers were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in treatment groups in comparison to the control in both the pre and post challenge periods. The result suggests that Lactobacillus plantarum (NCDC LP20) significantly enhance innate immune response in Labeo rohita (Rohu).

Keywords: *Aeromonas hydrophila*, Differential leukocyte counts, *Labeo rohita*, *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP20), Respiratory burst activity, Serum bactericidal activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is fact that Aquaculture has been accelerating for decades, although outbreaks of a wide variety of infections have resulted in catastrophic losses economically. In contemporary aquaculture industries, the main focus has been on the use of medicines and chemical additives (Martínez Cruz et al. 2012). Due to the negative effects of chemicals and antibiotics on the environment, followed by the development of mutagenic microbial strains and adversely affected fish health, their application to control disease outbreaks is no longer recommended (Cabello, 2006). Therefore, the application of eco-friendly feed additives, such as microbial supplements, to improve the physiology, growth performance, and immune responses of aquaculture-related species have gained much more attention during recent years (Al-Dohail et al. 2009; Sahoo et al. 2015; Dawood and Koshio, 2016; Guerreiro et al. 2016).

The term probiotic is a Greek word, 'pro' meaning favour and 'bios' meaning life, i.e., favouring life or for life. The first person to describe the role of beneficial bacteria (probiotics) was Elie Metchnikoff who studied the effect of sour milk on human beings in 1907 (Kumar and Sharma, 2001). 'Historically' the term 'probiotic' inevitably referred to gram-positive bacteria associated with the genus *Lactobacillus*. The original work on probiotic started with a *Lactobacillus* strain, *L. bulgaricus* and since then lactobacilli have remained the most commonly used probiotic organisms, as they are active against various gram positive and gram-negative bacteria.

Different strains of lactobacilli currently used in probiotic preparations are *L. fructivorans* (Carnevali et al. 2004), *L. bulgaricus* (De-la-Banda et al, 1992), *L. acidophilus* (Austin et al.1995; Barefoot and Klaenhammer,1983; Aly et al. 2008), *L.reuteri* (Zacconi et al. 1985), *L. helveticus* (Gatesoupe, 1991b), and *L. plantarum* (Gatesoupe, 1991b, Strom and Ringo, Gatesoupe, 1994). Experiments with warm-blooded animals indicated that probiotics (lactic acid bacteria) administered orally might induce increased resistance to enteric infections (Holzapfel et al. 1998). There are many reports that bacterial compounds act as an immunostimulant in fish and shrimp, which has been reviewed by Sakai (Sakai, 1999). It has also been suggested that ingestion of bacteria and subsequent endocytosis in cod and herring larvae are involved in stimulation of the developing immune system (Olafsen, 1998). Rengpipat *et al.* (Rengpipat et al.1994) reviewed the probiotic factors, which stimulate the immune system in shrimp. These include, (a) Dead bacterial cells (b) Yeast β -glucan and (c) Yeast zymosan (Sung, 1994,1996). Many bacterial strains with probiotic potential are now being used as either single strain or multiple strain preparations. There has

been increasing interest in the use of probiotics in aquaculture to control fish diseases (Douillet and Langdon, 1994; Gildberg et al. 1997). Hence, the present study was to investigate the effect of probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP20) on enhanced innate immuno parameters in *Labeo rohita* (Rohu).

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Source of fish

Fingerlings of *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton) collected from government fish form at Tirupati, Chittoor district, Andhra Pradesh, were brought and acclimatized to the laboratory conditions for two weeks prior to the experiment and maintained on normal diet. Chlorine free tap water was used throughout the course of the experiment. The physico-chemical characteristics of the water were as follows: temperature, 30-32°C; hardness, 80 mg/L (as CaCO₃); alkalinity, 82 mg/L; pH, 7.4; and dissolved oxygen concentration, 6.9 mg/L.

2.2 Culture of probiotic bacteria and feed preparation

The *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP 20) was obtained as a gift from Dr. B. Vijay Kumar, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India. *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP 20) was inoculated in to conical flask (1000ml) containing MRS broth and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours in a shaker incubator. The culture was centrifuged at 10,000×g for 20 min at 4°C and the supernatant discarded, while the pellet was resuspended in phosphate buffer saline (PBS; pH 7.2). The suspension was similarly washed and re-centrifuged four times and then quantified by spread plate technique (nutrient agar, incubated at 37°C for 24 hours to determine the number of colony forming units, cfu). Purified and quantified bacteria were kept at 4°C in suspended form and were used for feed preparation required. Feed was prepared thoroughly mixing the ingredients followed by steaming for 20 min, cooled, required bacterial culture was mixed thoroughly, and then pellets were made by a hand pelletizer. 10 ml, 15 ml, and 20 ml of *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP 20) suspended in PBS at 10⁷ cfu/ml/100g feed were used for treatment groups T₂, T₃ and T₄ respectively. Feed was prepared every week and stored in a screw capped glass bottle at 4°C.

2.3 *Aeromonas hydrophila* and challenge study

Aeromonas hydrophila was received as a gift from a doctor NIMS, Hyderabad. The isolate was verified by biochemical test and kept in nutrient agar slant at 4°C for further use. *Aeromonas hydrophila* was inoculated in nutrient broth (Himedia Ltd, Chennai, India) and incubated at 37°C, for 24 hours. The culture was centrifuged at 3000×g for 10 min. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was re-suspended in sterile phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH 7.4). Then the bacterial number was calculated by measuring optical density (OD) in a spectrophotometer and confirmed by plate count method. The final bacterial concentration was adjusted to 1×10⁷ cfu/mL by serial dilution. The experimental fishes were challenged intraperitoneally with the bacterial suspension of 0.2, 0.3, 0.4 mL (1×10⁷ to 2×10⁷ cfu/mL) after 28 days of feeding the three treatment groups (T₂, T₃ and T₄) and positive

control but the negative control (T₅) was injected intraperitoneally with PBS only. *Aeromonas hydrophila* was confirmed after re-isolating it from kidney of the fish of all groups, which are sacrificed on 7th day. Mortality was observed in control group (T₁) and morbidity was observed in T₂, T₃ and T₄ only.

2.4 Differential leukocyte count

Blood was drawn from the caudal peduncle region using sterile 2 ml syringes rinsed first with 2.7% EDTA solution and was collected in Eppendorf tubes coated with 20 ml of 2.7% EDTA solution. Methanol fixed blood smears were stained with Maye Grunewald Giemsa stain for 5 min. The slides were washed in tap water and allowed to dry before microscopic examination. Two slides per fish were taken for counting and two fishes were used from each tank at a time. Leukocytes were counted under microscope through many fields till it reached 100 cells per slide to find out the percentage of monocytes, granulocytes and lymphocytes in the blood. Absolute numbers of leukocytes were determined using a blood cell counter.

2.5 Respiratory burst activity

The respiratory burst activity of the phagocytes of *Labeo rohita* was carried out by nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) assay following the method of Sercombes. Subsequently modified by Stasiack and Baumann (1996). 50 µl of blood was placed into the wells of 'U' bottom microtitre plates and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour to facilitate adhesion of cells. Then the supernatant was removed and the adhered cells were washed three times in PBS (pH 7.2). After washing, 50 µl of 0.2% NBT was added and the cells were incubated for a further 1 hour. The cells were then fixed with 100% methanol for 3 min and again washed thrice with 30% methanol. The plates were then air-dried and 60 µl 2 N potassium hydroxide (KOH) and 70 µl dimethyl sulphoxide were added into each well to dissolve the formazon blue precipitate. The optical density was then read in an ELISA reader at 540 nm.

2.6 Serum bactericidal activity

Blood was collected without anticoagulant, kept at room temperature for 1 hour, centrifuged at 2500 ×g for 10 min and the serum was collected by a micropipette. The serum was assayed for bactericidal activity following Rainger and Rowley (Rainger and Rowley, 1993). For this, *Aeromonas hydrophila* culture was centrifuged and the pellet was washed and suspended in PBS. The optical density (OD) of the suspension was adjusted to 0.7 at 540 nm. This bacterial suspension was serially diluted (1:10) with PBS five times. Serum bactericidal activity was determined by incubating 2 µl of the diluted *Aeromonas hydrophila* suspension with 20 µl of serum in a micro-vial for 1 hour at 37°C. In the control group, PBS was used in place of the serum. After incubation, the number of viable bacteria was determined by counting the colonies grown on nutrient agar plate for 24 hours at 37°C.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Significant differences in mean values of among treatment groups were tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the comparison of any two mean values was made by Duncan's multiple range tests. A significance level of $P < 0.05$ was used. The mean values

for pre- and post-challenge parameters were compared by Student's t-test. The statistical analysis was performed by using the software program SPSS (version 11.5).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Differential leukocyte count

The differential leukocyte counts of *Labeo rohita* of the experimental groups were shown in **Table 1**. The number of granulocytes and monocytes increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) in the treatment groups in comparison to the control group during pre-challenge. Treatment group T4 showed the maximum granulocyte (49 ± 0.68) and monocyte (25 ± 1.78) number during pre-challenge.

The granulocytes were significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) in all the treatment groups sampled post-challenge, compared to pre-challenge. The lymphocyte numbers post-challenge were significantly less ($P < 0.05$) than the pre-challenge. There was an increase in monocyte numbers during post-challenge.

3.2 Respiratory burst activity

The respiratory burst activities (NBT reduction) of neutrophils of *Labeo rohita* of the experimental groups were shown in **Table 2**. Respiratory burst activity increased significantly after oral supplementation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP 20) in comparison to the control group during pre-challenge. The highest value of respiratory burst activity (0.33 ± 0.02) was found in T₄. Lower respiratory burst activity was observed post challenge in comparison to pre-challenge.

3.3 Serum bactericidal activity

The serum bactericidal activity increased significantly ($P < 0.05$) in *Lactobacillus plantarum* (NCDC LP 20) fed group in comparison to the control group **Table 3**. The highest serum bactericidal activity was found in T₄ (*Lactobacillus plantarum* at 2×10^6 cfu/g feed). The bactericidal activity of serum increased gradually as the quantity of *Lactobacillus plantarum* was increased in the diet. The number of bacterial colonies without addition of serum was 798. Incubation with control serum decreased the number of colonies to 614. The serum of *Lactobacillus plantarum* treated fish decreased the colony numbers to 458, 337, and 295 in T₂, T₃ and T₄ groups, respectively.

4. DISCUSSION

In recent years, powerful vaccines have been established against many of the major bacterial diseases of fish in aquaculture. Nevertheless, considerable efforts are still being made to develop alternative or supplementary methods to improve fish health. Among such methods, the prophylactic use of immuno-stimulants and probiotics has attracted particular interest (Raa, 1996; Ringo and Gatesoupe, 1998). Abraham and Banerjee (2007) reported that the rearing of *X. helleri* in probiotic-enriched water have growth inducing ability and

favorably influenced the reproductive performance in terms of high fecundity, high fry survival, reduced fry mortality and reduced fry deformity.

Now it has been established that several species of lactic acid bacteria (*Lactobacillus plantarum*) are part of the natural intestinal flora of healthy fish [22] and it is well known that Lactic acid bacteria often produce bacteriocins which may inhibit the growth of Gram negative fish pathogens. Apparently, Lactic acid bacteria are rarely present in juvenile fish reared on artificial feed, but may become dominant in the intestinal flora if they are supplemented in the feed (Gildberg et al. 1995; Gildberg et al. 1997).

Lactobacillus plantarum is a Gram positive lactic acid bacteria usually found in fermented food and in the gastro intestinal tract and is commonly used in the food industry as a potential starter probiotic. Recently, the consumption of food alongwith with probiotics has extremely increased. Among the lactic acid bacteria, *Lactobacillus plantarum* attracted many researchers because of its extensive pharmaceutical applications. In the literature, it has been evident that, oral administration of *Bacillus subtilis* probiotic to *Labeo rohita* was considered to stimulate innate immuno parameters (Rajeshkumar et al. 2008).

Literature claimed that, oral administration of probiotics to *Labeo rohita* was considered to stimulate cellular rather than humoral immunity such as the increase in the number of monocytes and enhanced phagocytic activity (Rajeshkumar et al. 2008). The result from the present experiment also revealed an increase in granulocyte and monocyte in fishes fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 probiotic compared to the fishes fed without probiotic. In the present study an effort has been made to provide an insight as to how *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 can be used as a probiotic for the prevention of diseases.

From the previous literature, it is evident that, non-specific immunity improved in fishes fed with feed containing *B. subtilis*. Similar results have been obtained in fishes fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP 20 probiotic. Kim and Austin (2006), also observed that the use of *Carnobacterium divergens* B33 in feed supplement to rainbow trout significantly increased respiratory burst activity. Nikoskelainen et al., (2003) also observed that rainbow trout fed with *L. rhamnosus* demonstrated a significant increase in the respiratory burst activity of leukocytes.

The significant diminution in respiratory burst activity in the control group during post-challenge was probably due to exhaustion of the respiratory burst activity of the phagocytes following infection of the fish after *Aeromonas hydrophila* challenge. Contessie et al., (2006) also observed reduction in the respiratory burst activity during the outbreak of winter syndrome in farmed gilthead sea bream, *Sparus aurata*. Decreased respiratory burst activity could be observed in the treatment groups also during post-challenge, although the level was not significant. This indicated that *B. subtilis* enhances the immunity of *Labeo rohita* to overcome the stress caused by *Aeromonas hydrophila*. We have previously demonstrated that administration of *Bacillus subtilis* to feed could reduce mortality of *Labeo*

rohita (Rajesh Kumar et al. 2008). Our study showed that fishes fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* probiotic (T₂, T₃ and T₄) showed a significant increase in respiratory burst activity (NBT reduction assay), compared to the control.

Our study has been showing the increase in resistance against *Aeromonas hydrophila* in fishes fed with *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP 20 probiotic, which is evident with the similar study of Rajesh Kumar *et al.*, (Rajesh Kumar et al. 2008) in *Bacillus subtilis*, can be explained on the basis of increased bactericidal activity of serum. Serum bactericidal activity increased in all the treatment groups with probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* (T₂, T₃ and T₄) in comparison to the control group. The higher bactericidal activities can possibly be due to a higher concentration of lysosomal enzymes. Similar views were put forth by others who observed significant increase in complement bactericidal activity of rainbow trout fed with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus*.

5. CONCLUSION

From the above results, it is concluded that *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 is an effective probiotic to show enhanced immuno parameters in *Labeo rohita* (Rohu). In future, some more experiments may be conducted using some other pathogenic bacteria and other species of aquatic organisms in order to establish the role of *Lactobacillus plantarum*.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Dr. B. Vijay Kumar, Sri Venkateswara Veterinary University, Tirupati, for providing probiotic strain in our present study.

7. REFERENCES

- Al-Dohail, M. A., Hashim, R., & Aliya-paiko, M. (2009). Effects of the probiotics, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, on the growth performance, hematology parameters and immunoglobulin concentration in African catfish fingerling. *Aquaculture Research*, 40, 1642-1652.
- Abraham, TJ, Banerjee, T. Beneficial antagonistic bacteria from freshwater and culture environment as probiotics in ornamental fish culture, *Indian J. Fish.* 54(3), 2007, 311-319.
- Aly, SM, Ahdmed, YA, Ghareeb, AA, Mohamed, MF. Studies on *Bacillus subtilis* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, as potential probiotics, on immune response of *Tilapia nilotica* (*Oreochromis niloticus*) to challenge infections, *Fish Shellfish Immunol.*, 25, 2008, 128-136.
- Austin, B, Stuckey, LF, Robertson, PAW, Effendi, I, Griffith, DRW. A probiotic strain of *Vibrio alginolyticus* effective in reducing diseases caused by *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *Vibrio anguillarum* and *Vibrio ordalii*. *J. Fish Dis.* 18, 1995, 93-96.
- Barefoot, SF, Klaenhammer, TR. Detection and activity of Lactacin B, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus acidophilus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 45, 1983, 1808-1815.

Cabello, F.C. (2006). *Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: A growing problem for human and animal health and for the environment. Environ. Microbiol.* 8, 1137–1144.

Carnevali, O, Zamponi, MC, Sulpizio, R, Rollo, A, Nardi, M, Orpianesi, C, Silvi, S, Caggiono, M, Polzonetti, AM, and Cresci, A. *Administration of probiotic strain to improve sea bream well ness during development. Aquacult. Int.* 12, 2004, 377-386.

Contessie B, Volpatti D, Galeotti M. *Evaluation of immunological parameters in farmed gilthead sea bream, Sparus aurata L., before and during outbreaks of winter syndrome. Journal of Fish Diseases* 2006; 29:683-690.

Dawood, M.A.O. Koshio, S. (2016). *Recent advances in the role of probiotics and prebiotics in carp aquaculture: A review. Aquaculture.* 454, 243–251.

De-la-Banda, IG, Chereguini, O, Rasines, I. *Influence of lactic acid bacterial additives on turbot (Scophthalmus maximus L.) larvae culture. Bol. Inst. Esp. Ocean org.* 8, 1992, 247-254.

Douillet, PA, Langdon, CJ. *Use of a probiotic for the culture of larvae of the Pacific oyster (Crassostreagigas thunberg). Aquaculture* 119, 1994, 25-40.

Gatesoupe, FJ. *Lactic acid bacteria increase the resistance of turbot larvae, Scophthalmus maximus, against pathogenic Vibrio. Aquat. Living Res.* 7, 1994, 277-282.

Gatesoupe, FJ. *Siderophore production and probiotic effect of Vibrio sp. Associated with turbot larvae, Scophthalmus maximus. Aquat. Living Res.,* 7, 1991b, 277-282.

Gildberg, A, Johansen, A, Bogwald, J. *Growth and survival of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) fry given diets supplemented with fish protein hydrolysate and lactic acid bacteria during a challenge trial with Aeromonas salmonicida. Aquaculture* 138, 1995, 23–34.

Gildberg, A, Mikkelsen, H, Sandaker, E, Ringo, E. *Probiotic effect of lactic acid bacteria in the feed on growth and survival of fry of Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua). Hydrobiologia* 352, 1997, 279–285.

Guerreiro, I. Couto, A. Machado, M. Castro, C. Pousao-Ferreira, P. Oliva-Teles, A. Enes, P. (2016). *Prebiotics effect on immune and hepatic oxidative status and gut morphology of white sea bream (Diplodus sargus). Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 50, 168–174.

Holzappel, WH, Haberer, P, Snel, J, Schillinger, U, Huisin't Veld J. *Overview of gut flora and probiotics. Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 41, 1998, 85-101.

Kim DH, Austin B. *Innate immune responses in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss, Walbaum) induced by probiotics. Fish and Shellfish Immunology* 2006; 21:513-524.

Martínez Cruz, P. Ibanez, A.L. Monroy Hermosillo, O.A. Ramirez Saad, H.C.(2012). *Use of Probiotics in Aquaculture. ISRN Microbiol.* 2012, 1–14.

Kumar, G, Sharma, R. *Probiotics-the mainstay in aquaculture health management. Info fish Int.* 5, 2001, 42-47.

Nikoskelainen S, Ouwehand AC, Bylund G, Salminen S, Lilius EM. Immune enhancement in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) by potential probiotic bacteria (*Lactobacillus rhamnosus*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* 2003; 15:443-452.

Olafsen, JA. Interactions between hosts and bacteria in aquaculture, In: *Proceedings from the US-EC Workshop on Marine Microorganisms: Research Issues for Biotechnology*. European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, pp. 1998, 127-145.

Raa, J. The use of immuno-stimulatory substances in fish and shellfish farming. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 4, 1996, 229–288.

Rainger GE, Rowley AF. Antibacterial activity in the serum and mucus of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, following immunization with *Aeromonas salmonicida*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* 1993; 3:475-482.

Rajesh Kumar, Mukherjee SC, Ritesh R, Nayak SK. Enhanced innate immune parameters in *Labeo rohita* (Ham.) following oral administration of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 24, 2008, 168-172.

Rengpipat, S, Rukpratanporn, S, Piyatirattivorakul, S, Menasaveta, P. Immunity enhancement in black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) by a probiont bacterium (*Bacillus S 11*). *Aquaculture* 191, 2000, 271-288.

Ringo, E, Gatesoupe, FJ. Lactic acid bacteria in fish. A review. *Aquaculture* 160, 1998, 177–203.

Sahoo, T.K. Jena, P.K. Nagar, N. Patel, A.K. Seshadri, S. (2015). In vitro evaluation of probiotic properties of lactic acid bacteria from the gut of *Labeo tohita* and *Catla catla*. *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins* 2015, 7, 126–136.

Sakai, M. Current research status of fish immunostimulants. *Aquaculture* 172, 1999, 63-92.

Stasiack AS, Baumann CP. Neutrophil activity is a potent indicator of concomitant analysis. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 1996, 6:53-79.

Strom, E, Ringo, E. Changes in the bacterial composition of early developing cod, *Gadus morhua*(L.), larvae following inoculation of *Lactobacillus plantarum* into the water. In: Walther, 8, Fyhn, H.J. (Eds.), *Physiological and Biochemical Aspects of Fish Development*. University of Bergen, Norway, pp. 1993, 226-228.

Sung, HH, Kou, GH, Song, and YL. Vibriosis resistance induced by glucan treatment in tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*). *Fish Pathol.* 29, 1994, 11-17.

Sung, HH, Yang, YL, Song, YL. Enhancement of microbiocidal activity in the tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) via immunostimulation. *J. Crustacean Biol.* 16, 1996, 278-284.

Zacconi, C, Paladino, M, Sarra, PG., Bottazzi, V. Bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus reuteri*. *Microbiologic Aliments Nutr.* 3, 1985, 153-156.

Treatments	Granulocytes		Lymphocytes		Monocytes	
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
T ₁	14 ^c ± 0.84	31 ^c ± 0.75	85 ^a ± 1.12	69 ^b ± 0.72	8 ^c ± 0.44	14 ^b ± 1.39
T ₂	21 ^b ± 0.46	34 ^b ± 1.52	72 ^b ± 0.61	55 ^b ± 1.67	14 ^c ± 1.38	17 ^c ± 1.12
T ₃	29 ^a ± 0.78	43 ^a ± 1.34	56 ^c ± 1.43	39 ^a ± 1.77	16 ^b ± 1.66	21 ^d ± 0.78
T ₄	32 ^a ± 0.58	49 ^a ± 0.68	54 ^d ± 0.55	37 ^a ± 1.58	21 ^a ± 1.45	25 ^e ± 1.78
T ₅		16 ^d ± 0.47		79 ^c ± 0.52		19 ^a ± 1.89

Table1. Variations in the different leucocyte count of *Labeo rohita* during pre- and post-challenge with *Aeromonas hydrophila*, treated with Probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20.

Mean values arranged in rows and columns containing the same superscript do not vary significantly (P<0.05).

(T₁ = positive control, T₂ = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 1× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T₃ = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 1.5× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T₄ = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 2× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T₅ = negative control).

Table 2. Variations in the different respiratory burst activity of *Labeo rohita* during pre- and post-challenge with

Aeromonas hydrophila, treated with Probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20.

Treatment	Pre-challenge	Post-challenge
T ₁	0.28 ^c ± 0.05	0.19 ^c ± 0.03
T ₂	0.31 ^b ± 0.04	0.25 ^b ± 0.02
T ₃	0.38 ^a ± 0.02	0.27 ^a ± 0.02
T ₄	0.37 ^a ± 0.03	0.33 ^a ± 0.02
T ₅		0.27 ^b ± 0.03

Mean values arranged in rows and columns containing the same superscript do not vary significantly (P<0.05).

(T₁ = positive control, T₂ = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 1× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T₃ = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 1.5× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T₄ = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 2× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T₅ = negative control).

Table 3. Variations in the serum bactericidal activities of *Labeo rohita* during pre- and post-challenge with

Aeromonas hydrophila, treated with Probiotic bacteria *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20.

Treatment	Number of bacterial colonies
T ₁	614 ^b ± 21.61
T ₂	458 ^b ± 22.65
T ₃	337 ^c ± 17.96
T ₄	295 ^d ± 15.37
T ₅	798 ^a ± 21.57

Mean values arranged in rows and columns containing the same superscript do not vary significantly (P<0.05).

(T1 = positive control, T2 = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 1× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T3 = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 1.5× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T4 = *Labeo rohita* fed with feed containing *Lactobacillus plantarum* LCDC LP20 at 2× 10⁶cfu/g feed, T5 = negative control).